т	• 1	4.0	Fiscal	λ 1	
	_ലനിടി	ISTIWE	P18C21	- A\mai	WST.
_	70 E 101	Lettine	T 10001	A ALLCIA	7000

Agency Response Form

Version 2010 3.0

Estimated Fiscal Impact of Bill

HB 246

Date 1/27/10

Short Title

Retirement Benefits for Charter School Employees

Contact Von Hortin

Title Audit/Finance Specialist

Agency: State Office of Education

Phone 801-538-7670

Short Form

Use only when there is no appropriation needed for state agencies, and no fiscal impact on state revenues, local governments, businesses, or individuals.

If the bill looks like it should have a fiscal note, explain why it does not. For example, a bill might put into code something that is already current practice.

Attachments welcome.

Χ	State agencies	will not	require an	appropriation	to impl	ement the	bill.
---	----------------	----------	------------	---------------	---------	-----------	-------

- There is no fiscal impact on local governments.
- X There is no fiscal impact on businesses
- There is no fiscal impact on individuals.
- x The bill will not affect revenues.

Explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.

A. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact?

Cite specific sections or line numbers.

Having charter schools verify employment and other information will add costs to the charter schools. There is no way to estimate the cost.

B. Which program gets the appropriation?

(Appropr	iation l	Jnit (Code
----------	----------	--------	------

(To appropriate to an additional program use an additional form.) This is ______ o

C. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?

Assume that a legislator calls you in to explain how you came up with your fiscal impact and these are the only notes you get to take with you.

List all costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what \$50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

Most employers decide to participate or not to participate in the retirement system, and this would force non-participating employers to verify information to the URS when they aren't prepared to either report in the format URS requires of employers. Also, there would be no factual method to verify if the employee had forfeited any interest in other retirement vehicles.

Since the URS already allows catch -up or other payments from individuals, this will not add any additional worklod to the URS.

Allowing individuals this option appears that it may set up opportunities for discrimination to benefit some employees.

Fiscal Impact Tables	Current Budget Year FY 2010	Coming Budget Year FY 2011	Future Budget Year FY 2012			
D. If this is a revenue bill, show impacts here. (Select funds from drop-down menu.)						
Total	\$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>			
E. Show Costs to Implement the Bill by Fund (Select funds from drop-down menu.)						
Total	\$0	\$0	\$0			
			<u> </u>			
Personal Services Travel Current Expense DP Current Expense DP Capital Outlay Capital Outlay Other/Pass Thru Total	ne Bill by Expense Catego	<u>\$0</u>	\$0			
G. How will the bill impac	t local governments?					
Vous estimate of the bill's impact	Charter schools would have additional reporting requirements added with no additional funding.					
Attachments welcome.						
H. How will the bill impac	t businesses?					
Your estimate of the bill's impact on businesses.	Some 401K administrators would have additional rollovers to the URS.					
Attachments welcome.						
I. How will the bill impact individuals?						
V	There would be an additional option for charter school employees to use to fund their own retirement.					
Attachments welcome.						
This is a draft fiscal note response fro LFA 11.20.08	m the Utah State Office of Edu	cation (USOE) and may be re	evised in the future.			